



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/695,520

10/28/2003

Amit Singhal

NP303

5392

7590 02/11/2008  
William L. Botjer  
PO Box 478  
Center Moriches, NY 11934

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TRI V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1796

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/11/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/695,520	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SINGHAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TRI V. NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TRI V. NGUYEN.

(3) William Botjer.

(2) Mark Kopec.

(4) G. Skandan and A. Singhal (inv.).

Date of Interview: 30 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: iron-dextran suspension and powder and LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C final product and dextran.

Claim(s) discussed: all applied.

Identification of prior art discussed: Armand et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Clarifications regarding the differences in the process of the applicants vs the process of the cited prior art were presented. Several proposals were discussed and possible declarations and/or evidences to be submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mark Kopec/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required